Efficient Online Inference for Nonparametric Mixture Models UAI 2021

Rylan Schaeffer, Blake Bordelon, Mikail Khona, Weiwei Pan, Ila Rani Fiete Harvard SEAS, MIT BCS, MIT Physics

- Clustering (mixture modeling) is a ubiquitous problem
- The Chinese Restaurant Process is a Bayesian Nonparametric model that allows the number of clusters to grow as more data are observed
- Common inference algorithms are formulated for the offline setting and scale poorly with the number of observations

Chinese Restaurant Process



- CRP(α) is a single-parameter stochastic process that defines a distribution over partitions of a set
- CRP defines a conditional for t-th customer z_t given previous customers z_{<t} and number of nonempty tables K_{t-1}:

$$P(z_t = k | z_{< t}, \alpha) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sum_{t' \le t} \delta(z_{t'} = k)}{\alpha + t - 1} & \text{if } 1 \le k \le K_{t-1} \\ \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + t - 1} & \text{if } k = K_{t-1} + 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

> $z_{1:T} \sim CRP(\alpha)$ $o_t | z_t \sim p(o|z)$

> $z_{1:T} \sim CRP(\alpha)$ $o_t | z_t \sim p(o|z)$

• Goal: infer (filter) $p(z_t|o_{\leq t})$, subject to two constraints:

> $z_{1:T} \sim CRP(\alpha)$ $o_t | z_t \sim p(o|z)$

- Goal: infer (filter) $p(z_t|o_{\leq t})$, subject to two constraints:
 - Inference must be performed online, meaning the filter cannot make use of the (possibly) infinite past nor can the filter be used to revise the past.

> $z_{1:T} \sim CRP(\alpha)$ $o_t | z_t \sim p(o|z)$

- Goal: infer (filter) $p(z_t|o_{\leq t})$, subject to two constraints:
 - Inference must be performed online, meaning the filter cannot make use of the (possibly) infinite past nor can the filter be used to revise the past.
 - 2. Inference must be efficient in the large t (sample) limit

 Streaming inference is difficult because CRP's conditional distribution p(z_t|z_{<t}, α) is dependent on the entire history

- Streaming inference is difficult because CRP's conditional distribution p(z_t|z_{<t}, α) is dependent on the entire history
- Approach: replace conditional with a recursively computed marginal distribution p(z_t|α)

- Streaming inference is difficult because CRP's conditional distribution p(z_t|z_{<t}, α) is dependent on the entire history
- Approach: replace conditional with a recursively computed marginal distribution p(z_t|α)
- Bayes' Theorem

$$\underbrace{p(z_t = k | o_{\leq t})}_{\text{Latent Posterior}} = \frac{p(o_t | z_t = k)}{p(o_t | o_{< t})} \underbrace{p(z_t = k | o_{< t})}_{\text{Latent Prior}}.$$

- Streaming inference is difficult because CRP's conditional distribution p(z_t|z_{<t}, α) is dependent on the entire history
- Approach: replace conditional with a recursively computed marginal distribution p(z_t|α)
- Bayes' Theorem

$$\underbrace{p(z_t = k | o_{\leq t})}_{\text{Latent Posterior}} = \frac{p(o_t | z_t = k)}{p(o_t | o_{< t})} \underbrace{p(z_t = k | o_{< t})}_{\text{Latent Prior}}.$$

 Latent prior is the expected conditional distribution, averaged over all possible paths

$$\underbrace{p(z_t = k | o_{< t})}_{\text{Latent Prior}} = \mathbb{E}_{p(z_{< t}, K_{t-1} | o_{< t})} \Big[p(z_t = k | z_{< t}, K_{t-1}, o_{< t}) \Big]$$

• Making one approximation, we obtain the R-CRP recursion:

$$\underbrace{p(z_t = k | o_{\leq t})}_{\text{Latent Posterior}} \approx \frac{p(o_t | z_t = k)}{p(o_t | o_{< t})} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha + t - 1} \sum_{t' < t} \underbrace{p(z_{t'} = k | o_{\leq t'})}_{\text{Previous Posteriors}} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + t - 1} p(\mathcal{K}_{t-1} = k - 1 | o_{< t}) \right]$$

• Making one approximation, we obtain the R-CRP recursion:

$$\underbrace{p(z_t = k | o_{\leq t})}_{\text{Latent Posterior}} \approx \frac{p(o_t | z_t = k)}{p(o_t | o_{< t})} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha + t - 1} \sum_{t' < t} \underbrace{p(z_{t'} = k | o_{\leq t'})}_{\text{Previous Posteriors}} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + t - 1} p(K_{t-1} = k - 1 | o_{< t}) \right]$$

• Intuition:

• Making one approximation, we obtain the R-CRP recursion:

$$\underbrace{p(z_t = k | o_{\leq t})}_{\text{Latent Posterior}} \approx \frac{p(o_t | z_t = k)}{p(o_t | o_{< t})} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha + t - 1} \sum_{t' < t} \underbrace{p(z_{t'} = k | o_{\leq t'})}_{\text{Previous Posteriors}} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + t - 1} p(K_{t-1} = k - 1 | o_{< t}) \right]$$

- Intuition:
 - First term is running sum of preceding latents' posteriors' masses, which means commonly used clusters will probably generate the next observation

• Making one approximation, we obtain the R-CRP recursion:

$$\underbrace{p(z_t = k | o_{\leq t})}_{\text{Latent Posterior}} \approx \frac{p(o_t | z_t = k)}{p(o_t | o_{< t})} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha + t - 1} \sum_{t' < t} \underbrace{p(z_{t'} = k | o_{\leq t'})}_{\text{Previous Posteriors}} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + t - 1} p(K_{t-1} = k - 1 | o_{< t}) \right]$$

- Intuition:
 - First term is running sum of preceding latents' posteriors' masses, which means commonly used clusters will probably generate the next observation
 - Second term is the number of clusters, which grows over time, incentivizing creation of new clusters



Experiments: CRP Prior



Experiments: CRP Prior





Experiment: Mixtures of Gaussians

R-CRP learns (close to) the correct number of clusters over wide range of concentration parameters



R-CRP has higher adjusted mutual information with true cluster labels than most baselines over range of concentration patameters



Experiment: Handwritten Characters (Omniglot)

R-CRP learns (close to) the correct number of clusters over wide range of concentration parameters



Experiment: Handwritten Characters (Omniglot)

R-CRP has higher adjusted mutual information with true cluster labels than online baselines over range of concentration patameters

